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THE MATING BEHAVIOR OF
IGUANA IGUANA (PART 2)

A Condensed Version of a Study by Gordon H. Rodda

Discussion of General Mating Behavior

A major goal of behavioral ecology has been to identify the ecological factors that could be used to predict mating behavior (Dugan and Wiewandt, 1982). Are the mating behaviors described herein predictable from the ecology of green iguanas? Iguanas are the most arboreal and folivorous of the iguanines (Etheridge, 1982). Although the green iguana is thought to have only recently invaded its arboreal niche, it has done well there, having spread throughout the warm Neotropics (Rand, 1978).

I believe that much of the iguana's mating behavior can be traced to its arboreal folivory (Troyer, 1983). A large ectotherm with a catholic folivorous diet is in a very favorable position. Leaves are abundant and widespread, and an ectotherm's energy requirements are low enough that it can withstand long interruptions in its food supply (Pough, 1980). A large arboreal lizard is no more at risk when it has close neighbors. Iguanas are acutely aware of the predator avoidance reactions of their neighbors and seem to take advantage of the information gained. Thus, iguanas can afford to aggregate at high densities in the microhabitats that afford the highest protection from the few predators they cannot outclimb (monkeys, large raptors, small felids, and opossums). Given high densities, the absence of monopolizable resources, and the absence of parental care, male iguanas must compete directly with one another for access to mates, and females will have a large number of conveniently located males from which they can inexpensively select a male that has outlived, outfought, and outcourted his competitors. If these traits have a heritable component, the winning males have better genes, at least episodically (Borgia, 1979:45-71; Trivers, 1985:348-359).

The form of male:male competition is shaped by the complex three-dimensionality of the iguana's arboreal habitat and the large number of females that may reside in a single tree (in excess of 30 per tree in preferred habitat). If their habitat were two-dimensional, a male could perhaps herd a small harem of females, as in bison (Lott, 1981) or hamadryas baboons (Kummer, 1968:122-150), but most trees have numerous radiating escape routes and no circumferential pathway that a male could use to force females to remain. Thus, males must entice females to stay and be prepared to compete with sperm in case they don't.

I believe that a combination of the inability to monopolize resources or mates with the phylogenetic legacy associated with being a lizard (little or no parental care, ectothermy, etc.) explains the basic features of the iguana mating system. Foremost among these are intense male:male competition, small mating territories with a regional male dominance hierarchy, and female mate choice based on male quality. The details of iguana mating are not so easily predicted. The specifics may hinge on more subtle phenomena, such as individual recognition or a prior-residence advantage in obtaining a mating territory. However, the generalized hypothesis is consistent with (1) the aspects of iguana mating that have been the same wherever studied, and (2) the aspects that have differed between studies (Table 2).

In considering differences between the conclusions of the present study and of Dugan (1980, 1982a, 1982b; see Table 2), I believe it is parsimonious to first (1) evaluate the possibility that the differences are of interpretation rather than fact, and (2) search for evidence that the iguanas in both studies used the same "strategy" (Austad, 1984 found different tactical expression under slightly different conditions). For example, territorial behavior may shift to a dominance hierarchy at sufficiently high densities (Evans, 1951; Wilson, 1975:269-297). Iguana densities at the El Frio site were sim-
ilar to the higher densities at Flamenco, and the behavior seen at El Frio was almost identical with that reported for Flamenco. The greatest differences between Flamenco and my sites occurred at my highest density site (Guacimos).

The only item from Table 2 that may be a difference in interpretation rather than fact is my finding of no specific courtship displays. I found that territorial males treated all unknown iguanas in a basically similar way: a slow approach with an elaborate headbob. If the approached iguana ran, mounted a female, flattened itself and postured laterally, or gave an elaborate headbob, the territorial male would probably attack. In the absence of these responses, the territorial male would probably headbob again (Fleishman, 1988, observed a similar reaction in Anolis auratus). The approach and headbobbing could be considered courtship if distinct in form when given to females. Dugan (1980:133-136) concluded that the headbob was distinct, in that her careful evaluation of filmed headbobs revealed that a shudder bob was most likely to occur in close distance heterosexual interactions. However, her male iguanas were sufficiently separated during the mating season that she had few or no opportunities to witness close male: male interactions. In my sites, close male:male interactions often involved shudder bobs. Müller (1972) also noted that all types of bobs were given in threat, courtship, and territorial defense contexts. Moreover, Dugan (1980:107) presented data showing that shudder bobs comprised 20% of all bobs in both Nov-Dec (mating season) and Jul-Sep (non-mating). Thus, there was no seasonal association between the occurrence of shudder bobs and mating. Shudder bobbing as an indicator of intensity rather than courtship also has been reported by Ruby (1977) for Sceloporus jarrovi and Rothblum and Jenssen (1978) for Sceloporus undulatus.

It is possible, however, that a real difference in

Table 2. — Comparisons between this study and Dugan (1980, 1982A, 1982B). The statements under “Dissimilar” summarize the conditions observed in the present study (Venezuela).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Similar</th>
<th>Dissimilar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex ratio</td>
<td>Density higher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual size dimorphism</td>
<td>One-dimensionality of habitat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily activity pattern</td>
<td>Slightly earlier phenology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sequence of mating behavior</td>
<td>Larger body sizes (both sexes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lack of mandibular reddening</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Display rate elevation</td>
<td>Intense fights sometimes seen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locomotion increase</td>
<td>Fewer displays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males jockey for female hot spots</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male dominance hierarchy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injurious fights rare</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large male exclusion of small males</td>
<td>Higher OSRs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males stopped eating during territory defense</td>
<td>Higher female numbers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females remain in territory</td>
<td>No male following of foraging females</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males/females moved toward each other</td>
<td>Not all large males territorial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Territories smaller</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudo female/territorial/peripheral roles</td>
<td>More forced copulations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most reproductive success to large males</td>
<td>Greater synchrony</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ovulations coincided with collapse of mating</td>
<td>Receptivity rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Few male: male interactions in some territories</td>
<td>Longer copulations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never more than one copulation/male/day</td>
<td>Numerous intrusions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Little or no “courtship”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Females mated with multiple males</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
courtship behavior occurred as well. In general, the Venezuelan male iguanas were less visual and more physical with females than were those in Panama. Forced copulation was attempted only by peripheral males in Panama. In Venezuela, both peripheral and territorial males frequently mounted or carried about violently reacting females (see “Forced Copulations”). Forced mounts overwhelmingly constituted the modal type (83%) seen in Venezuela, whereas they constituted only 22% at Flamenco. Although the Panamanian male iguanas less often used physical force, they more often used visual displays. In my sample of 10 15-min intervals for each of five large territorial males, no male displayed as often as the average given for Flamenco by Dugan (1980:74-75) \( t = 15.3; \text{df} = 267; P < 0.001 \) and they did not show the bright red and yellow associated with breeding males in Central America. The only large arboreal lizard species in Venezuela is *Iguana iguana*. It is possible that colorful and distinctive visual “courtship” displays arose as a species isolating mechanism in Central America, where the similar black iguana, *Ctenosaura*, is present. In many parts of the green iguana’s range that have no ctenosaurs, marked sexual dichromism is lacking (Hoogmoed, 1973; Lazell, 1973; Bakhuys, 1982; cf. Müller, 1972).

The three types of male behavior observed in this study (territorial, peripheral, pseudofemale) also were seen in Panama, although the relative mating success of the three types differed between the Venezuelan sites and between Venezuelan and Panamanian sites. Dugan (1982b) observed relatively greater mating success among the pseudofemale males in Panama than I did among pseudofemale males in Venezuela. In captive iguanas from Belize, Allison Alberts, Nancy Pratt, and John A. Phillips (pers. comm.) have found that pseudofemale males can be recognized not only behaviorally, but also by the size of their gonads (proportionately larger) and absence of mandibular reddening. In their one pseudofemale male whose femoral pore secretions were analyzed, the secretion’s chemical composition differed from that of other males and more closely resembled that of adult females. This may contribute to the territorial iguanas’ apparent inability to recognize some pseudofemales as being adult males. Pseudofemales seemed to be abstaining from behavioral competition for dominance while continuing to
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feed and grow during the mating season. Occasionally in Panama and in Venezuela they engaged in sperm competition when the opportunity for an uncontested copulation arose.

The minor differences in the phenology of reproduction between this study and Dugan's are paralleled by the differences between the sites in the timing of rainfall (Rand and Greene, 1982; Sarmiento, 1984).

Most of the differences in social behavior between the llanos and Flamenco sites may follow from the microhabitat density differences. On Flamenco, territories of 1-4 females (x = 2.6) included at least one large tree. At Guacimos three territories occurred in a vertical stack in one small tree that nonetheless included over 25 females. With females in Venezuela concentrated in lines along water courses, the opportunity for mate monopolization would be enhanced, leading to higher OSRs and a greater number of peripheral males. Without a territory, a peripheral male can only obtain a copulation by guile or force. Both intrusions and forced copulations were more common in the Venezuela sites. Dugan (1982b) reported only 6% of total copulations were forced, whereas the majority of copulations at Guacimos (> 50%) were forced. With the nearly continuous threat of territorial intrusions or take-overs, it would not seem advantageous for a Venezuelan male to abandon his territory to court females foraging away from a territory, as Dugan (1982b) reported.

The ubiquity of intrusions and forced copulations at the Venezuelan sites produced a high frequency of multiple copulations and probably considerable sperm competition. If sperm competition occurs without specialized structures for sperm storage (none was evident in gross dissections performed by myself or Rand, unpub. data), the volume of sperm a male places in each female may be an important determinant of his reproductive success. The long copulation times and the protracted abdominal pumping suggest that a large quantity of material was being transferred during each copulation. Dissections of road-killed males indicated that large males have testes exceeding 1% of their body mass (wet mass). Although I am not aware of any compendium of this value for lizards, the range of values reported for the great apes is from 0.017% to 0.269% (Short, 1979). Thus, the notoriously huge-testicled chimpanzee has only about one-quarter the relative gonadal tissue of an iguana. This suggests that iguanas are producing large numbers of sperm. If ejaculates are costly (Dewsbury, 1982; Nakatsuru and Kramer, 1982), this could account for the apparent limitation of territorial male iguanas to one copulation per day. Why iguanas would produce only one large ejaculate per day rather than two or more smaller ones is unknown, but it may be an adaptation to female control of copulation frequency. As females almost always succeeded in avoiding unwanted copulations (see "Forced Copulation, Results") and were rarely receptive, it may be advantageous for a male to place as much sperm as possible into a female on the rare occasions when she allows herself to be mated. In light of the apparent pressure to maximize sperm output per ejaculation it is surprising that the iguanas did not avoid use of the most recently used testis and alternate hemipene use in the manner observed for anoline lizards (Crews, 1978; Tokarz, 1988).

Any explanation for the limitation of territorial male iguanas to copulating only once per day must address the absence of such a limit in peripheral male mating attempts. A sperm shortage might not result in a once-a-day limit on copulation attempts by peripheral males because (1) peripheral male copulations are routinely interrupted, and (2) peripheral males might benefit more from an incomplete sperm transfer than from conserving their sperm for an unlikely future copulation. Their shorter copulation times suggest that they do not transfer as much sperm in an average copulation.

Sexual selection might be expected to reward internmale combat, especially among smaller-size older males that had little probability of growing large enough to obtain a territory. Holders of territories gained many more copulations on average; thus, acquisition of a territory might be worth a life-threatening struggle under certain circumstances. Yet few serious fights were observed by myself or by Dugan (cf. Alvarez del Toro, 1982:88). If vacant territories exist (as they did), and if females are free to switch territories at any time and prefer to reside in the territories of the largest males (as they appeared to), then a very large male would not need
a particular territory and a smaller male would not necessarily gain mates by gaining a territory. After a large territorial male was temporarily removed by Dugan (1980:84), his medium-size successor was not able to entice the “widowed” females to remain, yet the females returned to the territory when the former resident male was released and he regained his territory. I observed females switching territories so as to remain with a large male that had changed territories. If this phenomenon is general, it would imply that intersexual selection for large male size puts a limit on the gains that could be made by an extraordinary intrasexual effort. If females are only attracted to the largest males there would be no advantage in a smaller male risking injury in a serious fight, nor any reason for a very large male to fight for a particular territory when adjacent space is unoccupied.

Forced Copulations

Definition Of Forced Copulation

A “forced” copulation can be characterized as one in which the female struggles physically and violently (including jerky, unusual, rapid, or high amplitude movements) in an apparent attempt to escape from a mounting male, as distinctly contrasted with an “ordinary” copulation in which the female rests passively or moves slowly without apparent attempt to escape when mounted. This definition of “forced” copulation includes cases in which the female struggles violently for part of the copulation time, but does not necessarily struggle throughout the entire duration of the copulation or attempt (cf. Stamps, 1983). Green iguanas probably do not possess the requisite metabolic physiology for violent physical struggle lasting the 5-15 min duration of a completed copulation (Bennett and Licht, 1972). Note that the proposed definition is based on the female’s behavior, not on assumed conflict of interest between the sexes. The male may be physically forcing the female to act against her own interests, or she may be furthering her interests by testing the strength of various potential mates. These possibilities are not distinguished using this definition because they are not separable on the basis of field observations.

The Forced Copulations section includes (1) a description of the contexts of forced copulations, (2) an analysis of the variability among individuals in their participation in forced copulations, (3) a discussion of the ecological and evolutionary factors that may be responsible for the frequent occurrence of forced copulations in this species, and (4) a review of the literature pertinent to forced copulations, especially with regard to lizards. The latter is used to test, in a preliminary fashion, the generality of the evolutionary factors that I have hypothesized to promote forced copulations.

Results

To avoid biases from incomplete observations, I have used in this section only observations from the continuously observed territory at Guacimos.

OCCURRENCE OF FORCED COPULATIONS. — Initially, all resisted copulation attempts were categorized as “mild” or “violent.” If an attempt was resisted for a sustained period of time (> 20 sec) or if rapid, repeated, or unusual physical movements were involved (e.g., fast running, jumping from branch to branch while mounted, biting, etc.) the attempt was coded as “violent.” Less dramatic responses were categorized as “mild.” Of the 243 copulations attempts clearly seen, 29 (12%) were generally passive, 22 (9%) involved mild resistance, and the remainder (79%) were violently resisted. The unrestricted attempts matched the behavior reported by Dugan (1982b) for territorial male copulations in Panama.

The territorial male under intensive observation at Guacimos attempted to copulate 43 times, and was successful (intromission achieved) 18 times (42%). In 19 (44%) of the attempts the females did not resist, on 3 occasions (7%) he was mildly resisted, and 21 (56%) times the females resisted violently. Comparable figures for five peripheral males were 21 successful copulations (10%) of 200 attempts; of these attempts, 10 (5%) were unresisted, 32 (12%) mildly resisted, and 178 (89%) violently resisted. If male status were unimportant to the females, the proportion of their behaviors in each of the resistance categories would not differ between territorial and nonterritorial males. However, the females were selective. There was a highly significant association between success and absence of resistance in the distribution of the territorial male’s copulation attempts ($F = 20.1$;
$P < 0.001$) but none in peripheral male attempts ($G = 1.3; P > 0.1$). There was also a significant association between male role (territorial vs peripheral male) and success ($G = 11.3; P < 0.001$) or resistance ($G = 19.2; P < 0.001$), with greater success and less resistance being associated with territorial males. This pattern was seen in all 11 territories observed. Male role (whether territorial or peripheral) was the only variable found to explain differences in the frequency or context of forced copulations among males.

These statistics imply that females selectively resisted peripheral males and the territorial male’s success was influenced by the female’s behavior. Territorial males seemed to modify their copulation efforts both by not mounting most of the females that signaled their rejection and by discontinuing most copulation attempts if the female tried to escape once mounted. In contrast, peripheral males did not appear to be sensitive to the female’s reaction.

CONTEXT OF FORCED COPULATIONS. — Most forced copulations occurred when a peripheral male ran into a territory and mounted the first female encountered, or when a female left a territory and was mounted by a peripheral male, usually the first one encountered. Therefore, almost all extra-territorial copulations were forced. Although location generally was not associated with success, forced copulation attempts initiated on the ground rarely (3%) were successful, perhaps because female escape efforts were not vitiated by the concurrent requirement of climbing along a branch.

Peripheral males were opportunistic in the timing of their copulation attempts, with the result that the times of day of their attempts ($F = 2.567; df = 200.43; P < 0.0012$) and successes ($F = 3.905; df = 211.8; P = 0.0025$) were more variable than were those of the territorial male. Peripheral males’ copulation attempts also spanned a longer season ($F = 12.67; df = 200.43; P < 0.0014$). Female resistance was not restricted to time-of-day or a particular season; time-of-day for attempts ($F = 1.135; df = 212.28; P = 0.36$), time-of-day for successes ($F = 1.880; df = 18.18; P = 0.10$), and date of attempts ($F = 0.786; df = 212.28; P = 0.83$). The time-of-day for successes comparison is grossly distorted.
by the results pertaining to one anomalous female (see “General Mating Behavior”). With this female removed, the resisted copulations were significantly more variable in timing than unresisted copulations, which tended to cluster around midday ($F = 4.85; df = 10, 10; P = 0.009$).

Female iguanas stop eating during the mating season presumably to provide more space for the developing ova. If the date of cessation of feeding is taken as a predictor of a female’s physiological readiness for mating, one might expect an association between date of feeding cessation and behavioral receptivity as measured by first unresisted copulation attempt. This was observed for the 6 females for which both dates are known (Spearman $r = 0.986; P < 0.0013$). There was also a correlation between the dates of feeding cessation and first successful copulation by the territorial male (Spearman $r = 0.943; P = 0.0048$). Data are available for only 5 females for the same comparison with the first successful copulation by peripheral males, thus the correlation is nonsignificant though fairly strong (Spearman $r = 0.825$, NS). If this effect is real, it would imply that the females’ resistance to the peripheral males was temporally selective, with lowered resistance correlating with physiological receptivity. An alternate possibility is that the males timed their attempts selectively with reference to female receptivity, but no evidence of this was found (dates of attempts by a territorial male Spearman $r = 0.64; P = 0.12$; peripheral males Spearman $r = 0.05; P = 0.92$).

A female’s violent reaction when mounted might serve to draw the attention of other iguanas, possibly resulting in interference. On most occasions, the females escaped prior to the arrival of other iguanas, but there were noticeable seasonal changes in the amount and kind of assistance (Figure 3). For example, in late December adjacent females interfered with peripheral male copulation attempts more frequently than did the territorial male in whose territory the forced copulation attempt occurred (Figure 3). In contrast, in early December adjacent females did not participate as third parties in copulation attempts (Figure 3). Figure 3 tabulates only the actions occurring during forced copulation attempts. In many cases in which a peripheral male attempted a copulation within a territory and the territorial male was not tabulated as interfering, the territorial male was moving vigorously toward the mounted pair, but did not reach their vicinity before the female had escaped, apparently on her own.

**VARIABILITY AMONG FEMALES.** — Females varied conspicuously in the frequency of copulations attempts, and the frequency of resistance (Figure 4). However, the various females were visible for differing intervals and a spurious correlation between territorial and peripheral male attempts could be generated if the number of attempts on each female was simply a function of the amount of time observed. To avoid this problem, I considered the seven females for which the observations were essentially continuous and analyzed each female’s scores in relation to (1) the number of attempts by peripheral males, (2) the success rates of peripheral males, (3) The number of attempts by the territorial male, and (4) the success rates of the territorial male. Attempts were separated from successes to distinguish between the effects of male versus female behavior. Presumably the male’s motivation would play the pivotal role in a decision to initiate a copulation (an “attempt”) whereas once begun, the female’s behavior would be an important determinant of success.

**ATTEMPTS BY PERIPHERAL MALES.** — Two factors potentially contributing to the variability observed in the number of times each female was mounted were (1) the time females spent in vulnerable perches (those perches near the periphery of a territory where most peripheral male copulation attempts occurred), and (2) whether peripheral males directed attacks at certain females. The vulnerable perches factor was addressed by subtracting each female’s relative use of each perch during the mating season from that observed prior to the mating season (each female’s perch use scores summed to 100% for each season). The difference was a relative score (negative values for perches avoided during the mating season; positive values for perches used more during the mating season) that was correlated with the rate of copulation attempts per iguana hour experienced by other females at that perch. Thus, a female that shifted her perch usage toward vulnerable perches would exhibit a positive correlation and a female that
exhibited a negative correlation would be avoiding vulnerable perches. The possibility that peripheral males were directing their efforts toward specific individuals was tested by contrasting the rate of attempts at each perch when a specified female was present with that when other females occupied the perch. A paired t-test was used to compare attempt rates for each female, with each perch providing one comparison. A test statistic significantly greater than zero denotes a preferred female.

For most females, these tests indicated no significant change in perch use and no significant selectivity by peripheral males. The significant values that were obtained suggest individual effects worthy of further study. All of the females except AX exhibited a nonsignificant change in perch usage, generally away from the more vulnerable perches used before the mating season toward less vulnerable perches used during the mating season. Interestingly, the exception was the female that occupied the lowest position in the dominance hierarchy among resident females (based on the outcome of interactions, see “Female Dominance Relations”). This raises the possibility that females might have been contesting among themselves for the use of less vulnerable perches. However, no direct evidence for this was observed, and there was no other evidence of an association with dominance status. For example, the female with the next to lowest rank (CA) shifted her perch usage away from vulnerable perches.

The peripheral males avoided females AI and CA significantly, but did not significantly prefer any. However, the mean preference scores correlated with the sizes of the females (Spearman $r = 0.75; P < 0.05$), with the larger females preferred. An exception was female BK, who received a relatively large share of copulation attempts despite her modest size. At the times of the observations, BK had a freshly broken arm and had difficulty climbing through the trees. Males seemed to be directing their attempts toward this particularly defenseless female. With BK removed, there was a nearly perfect correlation between the peripheral males’ female preference scores and female size (Spearman $r = 0.957; P < 0.01$).

SUCCESSES OF PERIPHERAL MALES.— I attempted to identify the factors contributing to variability in the success rates of peripheral males on individual females by building a multiple regression model based on these factors: (1) territorial male interference rate, and (2) the percent of peripheral male attempts resisted. Together they did not have a significant influence ($F = 3.29; df = 2.6; P = 0.14$). I attribute this lack of significance to the low variability in the measured traits to two factors: (1) the territorial males’ actions rarely interrupted an attempt, and (2) the females almost always resisted vigorously.

ATTEMPTS BY THE TERRITORIAL MALE.— I repeated the analysis used for peripheral male attempts, with strikingly similar results for the territorial male. I also tested the frequency with which the territorial male approached each female during the times of day when he copulated. A G-test for heterogeneity in the sample indicated that some females were approached significantly more often ($G = 30.8; df = 6; P < 0.001$). However, I found no evidence that the differences correlated with the number of times each female was mounted. Several multiple regressions were attempted, incorporating various mixtures of (1) the size of each female, (2) the activity level of each female.

**FIGURE 4.** Frequencies of copulation attempts on females divided by male role and success.
Table 3. — Published observations reporting at least 10 copulations seen in a species of lizard.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Copulations observed</th>
<th>Percent forced</th>
<th>Herbivore</th>
<th>Insectivore</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Iguana iguana</td>
<td>Dugan, 1982b</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>this study (all sites)</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>&gt;50</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conolophus sub-cristatus</td>
<td>Werner, 1982</td>
<td>19?</td>
<td>5-10</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amblyrhynchus cristatus</td>
<td>Trabzuni, 1983</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reach, 1985</td>
<td>29?</td>
<td>0?</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclura cornuta stejnegeri</td>
<td>Wieandt, 1977, 1979</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tropidurus delacrus</td>
<td>Werner, 1978</td>
<td>&quot;about 40&quot;</td>
<td>10?</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scleropus jarrovii</td>
<td>Ruby, 1976, 1981</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uta stansburiana</td>
<td>Tinkle, 1967</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anolis garmani</td>
<td>Trivers, 1976</td>
<td>88 partial</td>
<td>1?</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. valencienni</td>
<td>Hicks &amp; Trivers, 1983</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. lineatopus</td>
<td>Rand, 1967</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. polyphyllic</td>
<td>Andrews, 1971</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. carolinensis</td>
<td>Gordon, 1956, 1984</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ruby, 1984</td>
<td>14 inc.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(based on mean number of meters moved per hour; this was used because lethargy could be confused with consent in mating iguanas), (3) number of days receptive, (4) number of peripheral male copulations per female, and (5) number of territorial male approaches per female. None were significant (all P > 0.25).

SUCCESSES OF THE TERRITORIAL MALE. — Four factors were considered as possibly contributing to the success of the territorial male, two reflecting the male motivation and two quantifying female reactions. Fecundity is closely associated with size in iguanas (Rand, 1984). Therefore, both female size and the number of times she was copulated by peripheral males might be associated with the male’s motivation level (i.e., males might be more highly motivated to copulate with a female who would be likely to produce more offspring). The percent of the territorial male’s attempts that were resisted and the mean vigor of her resistance probably reflects primarily the female’s interests. The absolute number of the territorial male’s successes produced a highly significant model ($R^2 = 0.99$; $F = 97.4$; df = 3.6; $P = 0.017$) with the factors vigor of resistance ($F = 34.5$; df = 1.6; $P = 0.010$), number of peripheral male copulations ($F = 36.5$; df = 1.6; $P = 0.009$), and size of females ($F = 36.3$, df = 1.6; $P = 0.009$) all making significant contributions. In this model, vigorous female resistance was associated with reduced success. Female size, and the number of times she had been copulated by peripheral males were associated with increased success.

VARIABILITY AMONG SITES. — The data reviewed above, which were obtained from one site that included several territories, can be qualitatively compared with observations from two other, less intensively studied sites. Two differences among sites were apparent. One was that at the two other sites, which had lower densities of iguanas, far fewer peripheral male intrusions into a territory occurred. At the lowest density site, none were seen. Second, it was apparent at all sites that the architecture of a territory influenced the success of peripheral males. Solitary palms provided a territorial male with a nearly impregnable territory, whereas a tree with numerous entry paths was difficult for a territorial male to defend.

COMPARISONS AMONG LIZARD SPECIES. — Fourteen studies have reported viewing at least 10 copulations in the field (Table 3). Six passed the criterion by only 2-4 copulations. Trivers (1976) observed 88 copulations of Anolis garmani, but only one of these was observed in its entirety.

The utility of this list is marred by its geographic and taxonomic narrowness. Only eight genera are represented and all are in the Iguanidae. All occur in a region between the southern United States and the Galapagos Islands. Fortunately, the Iguanidae includes both herbivores and insectivores, allowing a comparison between iguanas and the more typical insectivorous lizards. Forced cop-
ulations varied from 0% to > 50% of the observed copulations in four herbivorous species, but occurred at a rate of 1% or less (with one exception, discussed below) in nine insectivorous species.

**Discussion Of Forced Copulations**

In the intensively monitored area, forced copulations were the modal type of mating behavior, including 88% of the attempts and over 50% of the successful copulations. However, these values may not be typical for the green iguana in the llanos of Venezuela, in that a relatively high density site was chosen for observational ease and high densities could promote the forced copulation tactic in a variety of ways (see below). Nonetheless, the observed values are extraordinarily high compared to the 6% of copulations among iguanas in Panama (Dugan, 1982b) as well as the other lizard studies (all < 10%; Table 3). Few taxa have been reviewed for the incidence of forced copulation, but among them are colonially nesting monogamous birds (Gladstone, 1979) and waterfowl (McKinney et al., 1983). The proportion of total matings that are forced in these groups are known for only a few species, but all values are substantially less than the 50% reported here for the green iguana. Among colonial species, only a few percent of total copulations have been reported as forced, even though forced copulations are frequently observed (Emlen and Wrege, 1986). Afton (1985) reported the highest value among waterfowl: 19.6% for copulations among Lesser Scaup (Aythya affinis). However, most values for waterfowl are much lower (McKinney et al., 1983).

I am aware of only two well-studied vertebrate species for which physically violent copulations are the modal pattern: garter snakes *Thamnophis sirtalis* (Garstka et al., 1982) and elephant seals *Mirounga angustirostris* (Cox and Le Boeuf, 1977). Both species are somewhat unusual. Many garter snake males “mount” a female simultaneously when the female emerges from the hibernaculum and the female eventually copulates with the most persistent male. However, this does not satisfy my definition of a forced copulation, because all copulations in these populations are physically competitive. No separate class of forced copulations exists. Female elephant seals protest almost all attempts until the day or two before they leave the breeding area. Cox and Le Boeuf (1977) sug-
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gest that this is a tactic for inciting male: male competition, because protesting females often attracted
the attention of adjacent males, resulting in the copulation being interrupted by an adjacent male 62%
the time. Cox and Le Boeuf reported that females never escaped by their own actions. Mounted
female iguanas also attracted adjacent males during forced (and nonforced) copulation attempts,
although it is not evident that the adjacent males approached the mounted pair in substantially
greater numbers as a result of the females’ resistance. It is evident that iguana females usually
escaped prior to the arrival of an adjacent male. Only 25% of the resisted attempts were interrupt-
ed after the time of the arrival of an adjacent male. In 74% of the resisted attempts the female escaped
without assistance from a male. Thus, the context for forced copulations in the iguana does not
closely match either the garter snake or elephant
seal situation.

The salient feature of female mating resistance
in the iguana is that it was directed primarily toward
peripheral males. The females resisted 95% of the
peripheral male attempts but only 56% of the terri-
torial male attempts. Had all copulation attempts
been successful, the study females would have
received 83% (205 out of 248) of their ejaculates
from peripheral males. Largely as a result of the
females’ selective resistance, the actual value was
54% (21 out of 39).

If females resist peripheral males in order to
preferentially obtain the sperm of territorial males,
the 56% resistance rate for territorial male attempts
seems paradoxical. Some degree of female rejec-
tion is associated with copulation attempts in almost
all species. Prior and subsequent to a period of
receptivity females of many species reject mating
attempts. In addition, females of many species mate
selectively during their period of receptivity. The
56% rate of rejection is not unusual. The mode of
rejection is. Dugan (1982b) characterized the rejec-
tion postures of female iguanas in Panama as a dis-
tinctive arching of the tail. In many insectivorous
lizards, the female simply runs away from unwant-
ed suitors (Rand, 1967). I routinely observed one or
both of these forms of rejection among the
Venezuelan iguanas. But unlike the males in these
other lizard populations, the Venezuelan iguana
males did not always abort their copulation
attempts just because a female signaled her unwil-
lingness. On a proximate level, this male reluctance
to heed the females’ rejection signals seems to
account for the extraordinary frequency of forced
copulations in this population.

Why might a Venezuelan male iguana not take
no for an answer? A peripheral male has nothing to
lose by forcing a copulation unless females recog-
nize individual copulators and discriminate against
them at some later time when they become territo-
rial males, as suggested by Dugan (1982b). For a
territorial male, a more immediate penalty is pos-
sible: harassing a female may lead to her departure
from the mating territory. Territorial switching
occurred, although there may be a cost to switch-
ing. Cooper (1985) reported that unfamiliar females
were subject to greater male harassment in a terri-
torial iguanid, Holbrookia propinqua. I did not
observe this. I did observe a decrease in a female’s
apparent rank in the female dominance hierarchy
when she entered a new territory. This penalty took
the form of chases and movement restrictions, and
it seemed to have a greater effect on relatively small
females. Large females deferred to smaller resi-
dents for 1-3 days after entering a new territory, but
new small females often were chased until they left
permanently. Thus, a female’s reluctance to switch
territories may provide the degree of site fidelity
that permits a territorial male to attempt forced cop-
ulations on resident females without causing them
to abandon his territory.

It is also possible that the females’ preference
for large mates causes them to tolerate some harass-
ment in the territories of the largest males. If this
is true, one would expect forced copulations to be
more common in the territories of the largest males
and to be more common at the sites in which
females expressed greater unanimity in their pref-
nences for specific males. Forced copulation
attempts by territorial males were not observed in
Panama, where the average territorial male attract-
ed 2.6 females and no male attracted more than 4
(Dugan, 1982b). In the intensively monitored area
in Venezuela, eight mating territories averaged
about 7 females per male (range: 4-14), and the
majority of copulation attempts by territorial males
were forced.
Large male size and highly skewed operational sex ratios (OSR) may elevate the frequency of forced copulations by a more direct pathway as well. A large male iguana can carry a protesting female about in his mouth. Sexual size dimorphism may permit the larger sex to physically coerce members of the smaller sex. More highly skewed territorial OSRs should produce a larger pool of floater or peripheral males if the population sex ratio is otherwise unchanged. The relative rarity of forced copulations among insectivorous lizards (Table 3) may be attributable to the low OSRs in the territories of many insectivores (Stamps, 1977:301-303) and the attendant paucity of peripheral males.

Sexual size dimorphism, diet, and OSR are not independent of one another (Carothers, 1984). High overlap in home ranges is characteristic of herbivores (Stamps, 1977) and is associated with high variance in male reproductive success leading to sexual selection for large male size. In the herbivorous iguana, females do not need to maintain a feeding territory, as leaves are effectively superabundant. Instead, iguana females aggregate in the sites best suited for predator defense (trees bordering water courses).

From the peripheral male perspective, the high density of females provides many conveniently located targets for forced copulations. In the absence of defendable resources, a lek or harem-like mating system may develop. From the territorial male iguana perspective, the extreme aggregations of females necessitate an energetically costly defense of an arboreal “harem.” Sexual selection for large male size may ensue, and reduce the females’ physical ability to resist forced copulations. It is also possible that the absence of a male-controlled resource leads female iguanas to select a mate at least partially on the basis of a suitor’s physical vigor, as evidenced by the ability to force copulations. All of these factors are directly or indirectly related to the iguana’s folivory (Figure 5).

Do the available data from other lizard species support an association between folivory or herbivory and forced copulations (Table 3)? With the exception of Tropidurus, species exhibiting a substantial proportion of forced copulations are herbivores. Tropidurus is an unusual insectivore in that it occurs in very high densities in the Galapagos Islands (Werner, 1978). In this case, the unusual features of island living in the Galapagos have created conditions allowing the lizards to attain the high densities and extreme aggregations characteristic of most herbivores. The general association of forced copulations with dense aggregations has been noted for a variety of taxa. Emmer and Wreege (1986) termed forced copulation a “cost of coloniality” in their White-fronted Bee Eaters. Gladstone (1979) made the same association for a diverse group of monogamous birds. Swallows and elephant seals forcibly copulate in their dense aggregations. Thus, herbivory may not be the only situation that will lead to dense aggregations of females (and opportunities for forced copulations) in lizards, but it may be a common precursor.

The apparent absence of forced copulations
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**FIGURE 5.** Factors hypothesized to promote forced copulations (FCs) in iguana.
in the herbivorous lizards *Cyclura* and *Amblyrhynchus* appears to be related to a physically unique aspect of saxicolous living. Although many herbivorous lizards are arboreal, these two species take refuge in rocky crevices in which the females scrape off unwanted suitors (Trillmich, 1983; Wiewandt, 1977:170). Thus, while herbivory may lead to greater male size and large numbers of peripheral males as in *Iguana* (Figure 5), these features do not lead to forced copulations in *Cyclura* and *Amblyrhynchus* because the females have an effective deterrent. In addition, the crevices are a defendable resource, which eliminates two of the factors that promote forced copulation in *Iguana* (Figure 5). Crevices are an essential resource and they are easy to defend. Thus, females seek mates with high quality burrows, rather than choosing males directly for their physical vigor (Rauch, 1985).

**Female Dominance Relations**

The existence of a dominance hierarchy implies that the participating individuals are competing for a limited resource (Brown, 1975:92-95). Dominant male iguanas obtain possession of the best mating territories, but the advantage of high rank among female iguanas is obscure. This section explores the factors that might generate a dominance hierarchy among female iguanas.

**Method of Tabulating Dominance Relations**

Most stationary females eventually retreat after being approached by another iguana, and if they do not do so, the approaching iguana may walk over the stationary one. Thus, an approach and withdrawal (outcomes 2-4, collectively “low intensity outcomes”) were somewhat ambiguous for inferring female dominance relations. For each pair of animals I tabulated the sum of each iguana’s number of victories indicated by their high intensity interactions (each victory = 1) and the consensus of the low intensity outcomes if there were at least three low intensity outcomes recorded for the dyad (maximum score of 1 for the aggregated low intensity outcomes). If the aggregated low intensity outcomes exhibited a clear asymmetry, I awarded the victorious animal a 1 and the loser a 0. If a dyad’s low intensity outcomes were nearly or exactly equal, each participant was awarded 0.5 points. The summed high and low intensity outcome scores were arrayed in an interaction matrix. A dominance matrix was prepared on the basis of which animal in each dyad had the higher score in the matched cells of the interaction matrix. Thus, if the interaction matrix for the dyad of AH and AZ showed AH with 3 wins over AZ and AZ with 1 win over AH, AH would be treated as dominant to AZ. In the dominance matrix each iguana received a score of 0 (subordinate), or 0.5 (interaction matrix totals tied).

**Results**

Because females are generally nonaggressive and inconsistent in their interaction outcomes outside of the mating season, interaction matrices for the nonmating period did not indicate a significant linear hierarchy for any site. Using Kendall’s (1970:144-161) K index (0 = no relationship, 1 = totally linear hierarchy; see Appleby, 1983), the nonmating index for Masaguaral was 0.09 (n = 6 females; P >> 0.1) and that for Guacimos was 0.34 (n = 6; P >> 0.1). In contrast, females formed conspicuous dominance hierarchies at both sites during the mating season (Tables 4, 5). At Masaguaral, the small hierarchy size (n = 6 females) and lack of information on 6 of 15 pairwise comparisons preclude a statistically significant linear relationship, but the K index (0.72) and the absence of reversals suggest that the females were hierarchically organized. At Guacimos, the females were unequivocally organized into a linear dominance hierarchy during the breeding season (K = 0.86; n = 7; P = 0.017).

At Guacimos, the dominance ranks of females corresponded exactly with their relative sizes (due to ties in sizes: Spearman r = 0.98; P < 0.05), whereas the dominant female at Masaguaral was only the third largest. Nonetheless, most of the variation in rank at Masaguaral was associated with variation in size (Spearman r = 0.82; P = 0.05).

The relatively large fraction of interactions involving females moving toward sleeping perches suggests that the females may have been contesting access to preferred sleeping sites. At Guacimos, the higher density site, many sleeping sites were occupied every night, and females tend-
Table 4. — Interaction and dominance matrices for resident females at Masaguara during the 1982 mating season. Values in the interaction matrix represent the iguanas' number of "victories" over the corresponding animal (see text); values in the dominance matrix express the difference between the numbers of victories within each dyad. 1 (iguana "dominant" because it won more often than it lost to the corresponding animal), 0 (iguana "subordinate" for the converse reason) or 0.5 (interaction sums equal).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Winner</th>
<th>AP</th>
<th>AR</th>
<th>AD</th>
<th>BB</th>
<th>Q</th>
<th>AJ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AP</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BB</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AJ</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. — Interaction and dominance matrices for resident females at Guacimos during the 1983 mating season. Values as in Table 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Winner</th>
<th>AW</th>
<th>AH</th>
<th>AZ</th>
<th>BK</th>
<th>AI</th>
<th>CA</th>
<th>AX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AW</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AH</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZ</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BK</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AI</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AX</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ed to return to the same sleeping site regularly. The alpha female at Guacimos slept at one site 19 nights in a row. These results were not observed at Masaguara, where there appeared to be a surplus of suitable sleeping sites, many of which were unoccupied by any given night. For example, the most consistent use of a perch at Masaguara was that of low-ranking female Q, who returned to one site for eight nights in a row. The dominant female, however, rarely slept in the same site for more than two nights, and her longest run was four nights. The height, diameter, and inclination of each sleeping site at Masaguara was estimated from photographs. I calculated that there were at least three times as many suitable perches as there were sleeping females. The number of perches that were used at least once by a sleeping iguana at Masaguara was also about three times the number of iguanas that normally slept at that site.

At Guacimos, the tips of the branches overhanging the water were preferred for sleeping perches. Because the focal tree at Guacimos had been dead for many years prior to our observations, only thick limb stubs remained and there were approximately as many overwater limbs as there were sleeping iguanas at Guacimos. At Masaguara a preference for branch ends was not observed, probably because the entire canopy of many small branches was over water during the rainy season, and the water quickly receded beyond the positions of all perches during the dry season. At the lowest density site, El Frío, there was no evidence of any competition for perches, probably because the number of perches vastly exceeded the number of iguanas and most perches were over water year round.

At Masaguara, I compared the rank of breeding females to various measures of perch use in an effort to identify a resource that might be sought after. I considered perch height, perch diameter, perch inclination, perch use by the focal animal, perch use by the other female iguanas when the focal animal was absent, and the number of perches controlled by a perch (i.e., the number of perches that were more distal). The only significant association was perch control ($r = 0.39; P < 0.005$).
Consistent with this positioning of dominant females at the base of limbs, there was a significant difference between dominant and subordinate females. Dominant females were more likely to block another’s movements than attempt to pass a stationary female (Mann-Whitney $U = 7.5; P < 0.02$).

At Guacimos, the females expressed a clear preference for the ends of branches, irrespective of the heights, diameters, or inclinations of the branches. Thus, for almost all sleeping perches at Guacimos, the number of more distal perchers was zero. The number of branch ends at Guacimos normally exceeded the number of females present. There was no evidence that the female dominance hierarchy existed to secure more suitable sleeping sites for the more dominant animals.

Another possible benefit of high rank might be the opportunity to bask with fewer interruptions. However, there was no significant correlation between rank and variance in basking interval length (Spearman $r = 0.29; P >> 0.1$).

The final hypothesis that I considered for the advantage of high rank was that high rank gave access to preferred mating territories during the copulation season. Among the observations that supported this was the correlation (reported above) between rank and use of perchers that controlled access to other perchers. On 18 December 1982 (late in the copulation season), there was a radical shift in mating territories at the Masaguaral site, with the most dominant territorial male shifting sleeping trees to that formerly occupied by a lower ranking male recently incapacitated by leg injuries. Coincident with this shift in male territorial ownership was a matching shift in female perch use. That is, the majority of the females shifted sleeping trees to remain with the dominant male ($G = 65.25, df = 1; P < 0.001$). This suggested that proximity to a dominant male was more important than the attributes of a particular sleeping site.

On numerous occasions at both Guacimos and Masaguaral, new females were harassed by repeated high intensity contests with resident females of all sizes. Most were immediately chased from the territory and did not return. A few remained by taking up positions on the periphery of the territory. Only the largest new females remained for more than one day. After the second day, these large newcomers were tolerated by all but the larger or more dominant resident females. Thus, small females rarely remained in preferred mating territories. The territorial males did not participate in this exclusion. On a few occasions, males approached new females and headbobbled. This could be interpreted as courtship or a challenge to determine the new animal’s sex, but territorial males did not attack or harass new females, as did the resident females.

If high rank was associated with the opportunity to remain in a preferred mating territory, this privilege did not extend to the opportunity to copulate more often, or earlier, or later in the copulation season. There was no significant correlation between rank and these measures of copulation priority (all $P >> 0.05$).

**Discussion of Female Dominance Relations**

To understand female dominance relations in the green iguana, it is necessary to identify the privilege associated with high rank and to determine if the dominance hierarchy is based in part on past competitions. If the outcome of interactions is determined only by fighting ability, relative size, or some other rapidly measurable attribute (Jackson and Winnegrad, 1988), there would be little advantage to the larger females from their having established themselves as dominant, for they might have to demonstrate their fighting ability any time a limiting resource was contested. On the other hand, the reduced fighting associated with a dominance hierarchy depends on each individual’s ability to recognize and defer to individuals of higher rank (Brown, 1975:92-96). If iguanas cannot identify other iguanas individually, they cannot easily keep track of a challenger’s status.

While the definitive experiments have not been conducted to test the ability of iguanas to recognize individuals, I observed a number of occasions in which an individual iguana seemed to be reacting differently to a newly arriving iguana than it did to familiar residents (see above “Results” and “Nonbreeding Behavior, Results”). In addition, there were numerous occasions in which an iguana initially reacted inappropriately to an approaching iguana, only to sharply alter its behavior when the iguanas came into closer proximity. Neither of
these demonstrate individual recognition, for the iguanas might simply be classifying all other individuals into classes such as familiar versus stranger. However, the very widespread use of headbobs after an interaction, or when separated in time from any known interaction suggests that the displaying animal was facilitating future success by proclaiming its present status. This could be of survival value only if the displaying iguana was individually recognized by future competitors. Likewise, Dugan (1980:77) noted that male iguanas followed and courted females away from the mating territories. As the females in her study never mated away from territories, such courtship could not benefit the male unless there was individual recognition of suitors. Dugan (1982a) showed that various aspects of male headbob displays exhibit individual stereotypy, providing enough information that individual recognition could be based on the headbobs alone. Thus, it is plausible that the green iguana possesses the discriminating ability to take advantage of the fight-reducing attributes of a dominance hierarchy. This would help explain the scarcity of reversals in the dominance hierarchies (i.e., there are few values below the diagonals in Tables 4 and 5; see Landau, 1951).

What is the privilege associated with dominance? Of the three hypotheses considered, i.e., access to preferred sleeping perches, access to sites for undisturbed basking, and access to preferred males, only the latter was supported by the data. This is surprising, given the conspicuous and unequivocal competition among males for access to females. Although Darwin (1874:228–230) explicitly recognized the possibility of simultaneous sexual selection among both sexes, most studies of sexual selection have assumed that only one sex is limiting (Bateman, 1948). Altmann et al. (1977) coined the phrase “competitive mate choice” to describe female harem members competing among themselves for access to resources under the control of the harem master. Although the logic of their model could apply to the iguana situation, Altmann et al. explicitly sought to explain situations in which the harem master controls food or other resources needed by the females. No such resources are evident in iguanas.

Dominant male iguanas offer females only sperm and a degree of protection from some harassment by subordinate males. Is it possible that this
protection from harassment is the resource being contested by the females? Three lines of evidence suggest otherwise. If a female were greatly concerned with harassment, her most effective action would be to enter an isolated mating territory having few or no other females. Numerous such territories exist, especially at the beginning of the mating season, yet they are rarely used. If there is some hidden physical feature of these territories that makes them undesirable, females could still minimize harassment by seeking out the most remote recess of their own mating territory, instead of choosing the perches that they used. In contrast, the higher ranking females at Masaguaul tended to use the perches that controlled the greatest number of other perches. By definition, these are the more accessible ones, rather than the more remote ones. Therefore the high-ranking females were not choosing individual perches on the basis of escape from harassment. Finally, escape from harassment would not seem to be an objective for which the females would be competing. Their interests would be the same (e.g., the intruder male) and they might be expected to cooperate. Females often played a pivotal role in chasing intruder males out of territories (Figure 3). Whether independently pursuing their individual interests or actively cooperating, the effects of their actions were complementary rather than in opposition.

If the female dominance hierarchy did not exist for apportioning the privilege of escaping harassment, what resource was being apportioned? The answer to this question may become evident with an understanding of why male iguanas never mate more than once a day. As noted in “General Mating Behavior,” the receptivity window for females is only a few days in length, and several females may be simultaneously receptive. If some nutritional, energetic, or physiological constraint on males limits them to one copulation per day, then there is a practical limit to the number of females that can occupy a territory and be guaranteed a timely and adequate supply of the resident’s sperm. Based on the synchrony of females in the intensively monitored territories, this limit is roughly eight females per male. Therefore, it may be in each female’s interest to guarantee that she does not have to share her male with more than about seven others.

As a practical matter, it might be unreasonable for selection to have operated in such a way that female iguanas would have evolved the ability to count their female competitors. Rather, selection would more likely have rewarded aggression that would tend to disperse females and minimize the chances that a single mating territory would house an excessive density of female competitors. Consistent with this expectation were the observations that (1) female belligerence rose sharply during the breeding season, (2) high female rank was associated with exclusion of other females from the mating territory, and (3) females switched mating territories to remain with a dominant male (rather than being tied to a particular sleeping perch). In addition, the higher level of aggression directed at unfamiliar females would seem to be an appropriate mechanism for minimizing the pool of resident females in a territory while simultaneously reducing the severity of contests among the established residents.

This argument assumes that the sperm of preferred males is sufficiently superior that the costs of interfemale competition do not exceed the gain accrued through mating with a preferred male. In "General Mating Behavior," I presented evidence for strong female preferences for the largest territorial males. I was not able to estimate the costs of interfemale competition, but they are probably minute. I saw no evidence that any female was ever injured, forced into marginal habitat, or forced to forego copulation as a result of interfemale contests. Thus, the benefits of mating with a preferred male would not need to be great for interfemale competition to evolve.

The possibility that both sexes could be simultaneously competing for access to one another in the absence of any defendable resources is not one that has received much attention since the time of Darwin (1874:474-511). Bateman (1948) and Trivers (1972) assumed that an asymmetry between the sexes existed, and determined the direction of a unitary selective force. Recently, Hammerstein and Parker (1987) have argued that this is an oversimplification, that several conflicts of interest exist between the sexes in mating objectives, including the question of who searches for a mate, who agrees to mate first, who avoids inbreeding, whether to
attempted matings, whether to desert, etc. With multiple parameters to be simultaneously maximized, a single compromise solution may leave the interests of both partners incompletely satisfied. In the case of iguanas, the timing or availability of sperm appears to be constrained in some way (i.e., sperm may be cheap but not free; Dewsbury, 1982; Nakatsuru and Kramer, 1982). The constraints on sperm delivery may create additional conflicts of interest, even in the absence of defendable resources. This may lead to mutual and simultaneous intrasexual selection pressures on both sexes.
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Iguanidae

Announcing “Iguanidae”

A signed and numbered print by herp artist, Marty Capron. The print measures 22.5 inches by 17.5 inches overall and is printed on top quality heavy, white stock. This exquisitely detailed pen & ink drawing has been issued as only 100 signed and numbered prints, sure to become collectors items. Priced at $40.00 plus $5 for shipping and handling. Make check or money order payable to: Marty Capron RT 1, Box 59, Oxford, KS 67119. Please mention IIS with order.
After eighty-five days of incubation at 87°F, fertile Cuban iguana eggs will begin to hatch. Eggs will begin to dent about 3-10 days prior to actual hatching and additional moisture will bead up on the interior of the Tupperware™ egg container. The neonates hatch over a period of 3-5 days. They will slice a hole through the eggshell with their eggtooth, push their heads through, and emerge from the egg shortly after an arm is free from the shell. Once the young are free of the egg we will transfer them to a separate container. A 55 gallon aquarium with a screen top makes an excellent sub-
stitute egg chamber. Several layers of brown paper with several limestone rocks placed on top, will provide many hiding areas for the hatchlings to adjust to life outside the egg. As more of their siblings join them, the hatchlings will dig and scratch. This is the period during which they would dig to the surface in nature. Rarely do they follow the same route as their mother’s tunnel to the egg chamber (Wiewandt, 1978).

In most respects the hatchlings are miniature versions of the adults. They are, however, quite plump when first free of the egg. They contain a generous supply of yolk which must be absorbed before they begin to eat. Often the umbilical stem will still extend from the abdomen of the neonates. This will fall off shortly if left alone. If manually removed, the stem should be cut with a sharp scissors close to the abdomen. Careless removal may cause injury to the hatchling. The temperature of the room where the hatchlings are kept should be maintained at 84°F. After the neonates have been in the aquarium for 3-4 days, a photoperiod should be initiated. A 40 watt incandescent light will provide satisfactory light and heat. During this period the hatchlings will become noticeably thinner and will begin their first moult. After shedding, it is time to introduce food into the container. The first meal should consist of a small amount of a variety of greens very finely chopped. Romaine, spinach, mustards, collards, endive, etc., in various combinations is acceptable. After two days, a variety of other vegetables should be introduced into the diet until after a week or two when the list of food items should exceed a dozen. Food items must be very finely chopped to aid ingestion. We have added small amounts of ground up adult iguana feces to hatching food, but we have detected no difference in digestibility than when we have not. Crickets or mealworms may be offered once a week, but should be used as a supplement. Fruit in small quantities may also be added to the list of diet items. At 3-4 weeks of age all hatchlings that appear normal are transferred to outdoor enclosures where they are exposed to natural ultra violet light. They are alert and active, exploring their world and starting to grow rapidly. Hatchlings will still spend considerable time hiding. Food is provided daily although hatchlings will often feed heavier on alternate days. Water is provided every third day for half the day. Hatchlings will soak and defecate in the water so it will become dirty quickly.

---

**Iguana Rescue Group Update**

The Iguana Rescue Group has continued rescue, rehabilitation, and placement of iguanas including some out of state. To date over 55 iguanas have been placed.

**Florida (Central-North-Gulf Coast)**

**Contact**
Deborah Neufeld  
PO. Box 423332  
Kissimmee, Florida 34742  
Janet and Dennis Truse  
(407) 846-6976

**South Florida and Elsewhere**

IIS  
(305) 872-9811

**Southwest Florida**

Carl and Janet Fuhri  
(813) 992-5679

---

**Poster Commemorates Jamaican Iguana Survival**

Fort Worth Zoo is offering a beautiful full color poster of the Jamaican Iguana, Cyclura collei, which was unveiled at the recent symposium and workshop on the conservation of the Jamaican Iguana.

The poster is being distributed in Jamaica to heighten public awareness for the plight of this critically endangered lizard. Once feared extinct, the Jamaican Iguana was rediscovered in 1990, and a small remnant population still clings to existence in the forests of the Hellshire hills.

Superb in color quality, this 17" x 22" poster features 3 photographs of the Jamaican Iguana and its habitat. A limited number of posters are available for $10 each plus shipping & handling. Proceeds generated will directly support ongoing field research and conservation efforts in Jamaica. To order, please send check or money order for $12.50 payable to: Fort Worth Zoological Association, Rick Hudson, Reptile Dept., Fort Worth Zoo, 1989 Colonial Parkway, Fort Worth, TX  76110
First Breeding Of Rhinoceros Iguana, Cyclura cornuta, at The National Zoo

Bryan K. Shipley

We are happy to announce the first hatching of a Rhinoceros iguana, Cyclura cornuta at the National Zoo. Our iguanas are housed indoors in a spacious, glass-fronted exhibit measuring 14' (4.3m) x 8' (2.5m) x 11' (3.4m). The enclosure is modeled after Indianapolis Zoo’s exhibit and has four man-made burrows in two lava rock piles in the rear corners. Builders sand is used as substrate to a depth of 6" (15cm) over a concrete floor. The center of the exhibit is dominated by a large Seagrape, Coccoloba uvifera, whose branches spread out over both lava rock piles. A smaller lava rock pile, also centrally located, serves as a visual barrier to reduce aggression and provides an ideal basking site beneath a 500 watt quartz heater, where temperatures reach 89.6°F (32°C). Two additional basking areas are heated by another 500 watt quartz heater and an infrared lamp. Ambient temperatures within the exhibit range from 83-91°F (28.3-32.7°C), while burrow temperatures are cooler at 81°F (27.2°C). A full skylight is supplemented by broad spectrum fluorescent lighting and two mercury vapor lamps that intensify light levels. Two rainy seasons in the spring and fall are brought on by daily late afternoon showers created by an overhead sprinkler system.

In our exhibit, only a single pair of adult iguanas is housed together. Originally from Taronga Park Zoo, Australia, our pair consists of one 13 year old male weighing 14 lbs. (6.27kg) and a female weighing 8.61 lbs. (3.89kg) with a SVL of 17” (42.5cm). Courtship behavior begins in March with

the male chasing the female. Although copulation has yet to be observed, we know now that oviposition occurs in late July or August. Man-made burrows in the lava rock piles are not used for egg laying, although two of the four burrows were filled with soil to encourage the female to dig out her own egg chamber. However, a large soil-filled planter, in the top of a lava rock pile, has become the preferred egg laying location. A sheet of plywood covering the surface of this cavity has an entrance hole cut into one corner. Using this entrance, the female digs out a burrow under the plywood. Exploratory digging at this location was observed in early summer and increased in late July of 1992. This was followed by the disappearance of the female, whose abdomen had become distended and swollen. After four days the female emerged on August 3, 1992, noticeably thinner. The plywood was removed, and 16 eggs were found underneath at the plywood/soil interface.

Of the 16 eggs, only nine were judged by candling to be fertile. These eggs averaged 61.8g in weight, ranging from 60-63g. Average length and width of the eggs was 68.1mm and 41.1mm, respectively. Eggs were set up in a container with a vermiculite-to-water ratio of 2:1. Eggs and vermiculite were weighed twice per week to monitor embryo development and maintain consistent humidity levels. At the time of laying, available incubator space was limited to a suboptimal 28-29°C temperature, and all eggs were incubated at this temperature for one month, until additional space was available in a 30°C incubator. Only four of the eggs were transferred to the higher temperature to prevent a potential loss of all eggs. Embryonic development was documented in all eggs throughout the incubation period by progressive weight gain and presence of blood vessels upon candling. Hatching began after 92 days on November 6, extending to November 19. However, between the two groups of eggs, only five hatched. Three hatched from the 28-29°C incubator, all requiring assistance to emerge, but later died. Two that hatched from the 30°C incubator appeared normal, but only one survived past December 1992. It is believed that the initial lower temperature incubation period resulted in lethargic embryo development, indicated by large, external yolk sacs present on full term hatchlings, despite the influence of optimal temperatures during the latter part of the incubation period. Although the yolk sacs resorbed normally, three of the four hatchlings later died.

The mean weight of hatchlings was 43.3g (ranging from 41-44g), while the mean SVL was 9.54cm (ranging from 9.4-9.8cm). The surviving hatchling is housed in a 55-gallon aquarium with long lengths of stacked cork bark providing hide areas. The cage is heated with full spectrum lighting (U.V. included). Daytime temperatures range from 80.6-89.6°F (27-32°C) with a nighttime drop to 75.2°F (24°C). The hatchling is fed every other day with our standard salad diet composed of chopped kale, shredded carrots, diced apple, and soaked dog food crumbled into small pieces. Diced banana was initially offered to stimulate feeding, but was later dropped from the diet after the hatchling began eating well. A light dusting of the salad mix with a vitamin/calcium powder supplement was provided once per week. Adult iguanas receive the same salad mix five days per week, with supplemental vitamin/calcium powder dusting increasing in frequency during the breeding and egg laying season from once per month to once per week.

In an exhibit like ours, time and location of oviposition are difficult to determine. However, with the results we have obtained last year, time and location of egg laying is more predictable, and optimism for future C. cornuta reproduction seems high.

Dedication

This issue of Iguana Times is dedicated to the at least thirty Yanomani Indians that were slaughtered by wildcat gold miners in the state of Roraima, Brazil or just across the border in Venezuela during the week of 16 August, 1993. When the indigenous people of the isolated rainforests are not safe in their homelands, the natural resources and wildlife of these areas are vulnerable to destruction as well. We hope the government of Brazil will take action to prevent the future slaughter of the rainforest’s innocent inhabitants. Source: Miami Herald
Iguana Newsbriefs

Iguana Conference
Announcing The International Iguana Society, Inc. Iguana Conference, October 16-19, 1993. A General Membership Meeting will be held on Monday, 18 October at 7:00 pm at the Hidden Harbor Turtle Hospital, Marathon, Florida. The featured speaker will be Tom Wiswandt, renown iguana ecologist. The Board of Directors of IIS will conduct two board meetings during the conference, members’ input is invited. The First Annual IIS BBQ will be on Sunday, 17 October starting at 3:00 pm. There will also be field trips into several Florida Keys ecosystems. For room reservations, please call or write to: Hidden Harbor Motel, 2396 Overseas Highway, Marathon, FL 33050, 800-362-3495. Visa/MasterCard and checks accepted for one or all nights. Please be sure to indicate that you are part of the IIS Conference to receive a 25% discount on off-season room rates. Hidden Harbor is the site of important research on sea turtles and has several species in captivity on the resort. Seni check for $10.00 each person for the BBQ and registration (if vegetarian, please let us know) to: IIS, Rt. 3, Box 328, Big Pine Key, FL 33043. For more information call 305-872-9811.

MAO Dies
The 30-35 year old male Rhinoceros iguana, Cyclura cornuta written about by Wendy Townsend in I.T., Volume 2, Number 2, died peacefully in his habitat enclosure on 1 July, 1993. He had been a Finca Cyclura resident for nine years. He was known for his gentle disposition with humans. He was also trusted with children as young as three, despite his rather imposing form. He shared his habitat with two generations of green iguanas and outlived his original mate by four years. He is survived by his current mate, Greta and by 3 sons and 3 daughters on Big Pine Key. Mao sired three clutches with his original female (1987, 1988, 1989). Many humans who knew him were saddened by his departure. He was necropsied by Rene Cruz, DVM, Ramrod Key in conjunction with the Pathologist of the State of Florida, Animal Diagnostic Laboratory in Kissimmee. He was found to have Hepatic lipoidosis, a degenerative liver condition. His mate seemed very “lost” at his absence, but has since become involved with his son, Jono.

COMMERCIAL DIET PROVES BAD FOR CAYMAN IGUANAS
The National Trust for the Cayman Islands has embarked on a captive breeding program for the Grand Cayman blue iguana, Cyclura nubila lewisi, (see I.T., Volume 1, Number 6). Director Fred Burton decided to use a diet consisting of primarily imported commercial iguana food. Many products have been introduced into the US market in the last two years. They were designed to be convenient, complete. In this case, the results have been poor, with many animals in the program suffering from metabolic bone disease despite the fact these animals have been raised out of doors in their native country. We urge caution when using these diets, especially when companies call iguanas “omnivores” or claim that their diet is “all an iguana needs.”

National Breeders’ Expo
IIS was well represented at the 4th National Reptile Breeders’ Expo held at the Twin Towers Hotel in Orlando on 14, 15 August, 1993. IIS members Deborah Neufeld, Jan Trone, and Lori Sendlin put themselves in preparing the IIS booth. Over 4500 participants and over 300 exhibitors were present during the 2 days of the expo. Over 500 free Iguana Care Sheets were distributed and the Society enrolled 18 new members. The National Reptile Breeders’ Expo has evolved into the world showcase of herpetocultural achievement. Unfortunately, large numbers of imported, farm raised green iguanas were being sold in very crowded enclosures with some animals in poor condition. Hopefully this situation will be remedied at future expos.

ALLAN’S CAYS SIGNS SURVIVE ANDREW
The two informational signs installed in March, 1992 by IIS volunteers in the Allan’s Cays have survived hurricane Andrew, reports IIS member ‘Tina Henize’. The heavy steel signs provide information about the Allan’s Cay Iguana, Cyclura cyclura inornata, (see I.T., Volume 1, Number 5) to visiting boaters. The hurricane passed to the north of the keys on 23 August, 1992 sparing the small islands the full force of the storm. The signs sustained no damage.
Statement of Purpose

The International Iguana Society, Inc. is a non-profit, international organization dedicated to the preservation of the biological diversity of iguanas through habitat preservation, active conservation, research, captive breeding and the dissemination of information.

The Iguana Times, the newsletter of the society, is distributed quarterly to members and member organizations. Additional copies are available at a cost of $4.50 including postage. Annual dues for The International Iguana Society are $25.00 for individuals and $30.00 for organizations which receive double copies of the newsletter.

Write to:
The International Iguana Society, Inc.
Route 3, Box 328
Big Pine Key, FL 33043

Solicitations

Members of the I.I.S. are encouraged to contribute articles for publication in the Iguana Times, following a format like that shown in the most recent issue of the newsletter. Articles can deal with any aspect of iguana biology, ecology, behavior, husbandry, systematics, etc. Manuscripts must be typed, DOUBLE-SPACED, with wide margins, on 8 1/2" x 11" paper. Include your address and telephone number on the manuscript. Members are also welcome to submit letters to the Editor for publication in future issues of the newsletter. Authors of one page or more of print are entitled to three copies of the issue in which their article appears.

The Editors

Advertising policy of Iguana Times

We advertise only non-living products (except feeder insects). All products have been examined and been found to be high quality and fairly priced. Contact I.I.S., RT 3, Box 328, Big Pine Key, FL 33043, for more information.

I.I.S. Bookstore

As a service to our members, a limited number of publications will be distributed through the I.I.S. Bookstore. We believe this will become a valuable source of information. The following publications are now available:

1) The Green Iguana Manual, by Philippe de Vosjoli. 1992. $7.00 (including postage); $8.75 (non-members)

2) Guide to the Identification of the Amphibians and Reptiles of the West Indies (Exclusive of Hispaniola), by Albert Schwartz and Robert Henderson. 1985. $19.00 (including postage); $27.00 (non-members)

Write to: I.I.S. Bookstore
316 W. Mission Ave., 117
Escondido, CA 92025
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ANNOUNCING
THE FIRST OFFICIAL
INTERNATIONAL
IGUANA SOCIETY
T-SHIRT!

ONLY $12
plus $2 for postage & handling
($1 P&H for each additional shirt)

Sizes available in Small, Medium,
Large, and X-Large.

Send check or
money order to:
International
Iguana Society, Inc.
Route 3, Box 328
Big Pine Key, FL
33043